

Registres ANOCOR (ANOmalias CORonaires congénitales)



GACI

Groupe Athérome et Cardiologie Interventionnelle
de la Société Française de Cardiologie

Xavier HALNA du FRETAY
Unité Cardiologique de la Reine Blanche, SARAN



DÉCLARATION DE LIENS D'INTÉRÊT AVEC LA PRÉSENTATION

**Intervenant : Halna du Fretay Xavier, SARAN,
FRANCE**

Je n'ai pas de lien d'intérêt à déclarer

Anomalous connections of the coronary arteries: a prospective observational cohort of 472 adults. The ANOCOR Registry.

P. Aubry¹, X. Halna du Fretay², P. Dupouy³, G. Leurent⁴, M. Godin⁵, L. Belle⁶, P. Couprie⁷, P. Ou⁸, J-P. Laissy⁸, J-M Juliard¹, on behalf of the ANOCOR investigators.



(1) Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital, cardiology, Paris, France (2) Foch Hospital, cardiology, Suresnes, France (3) Private Hospital of Antony, cardiology, Antony, France
(4) University Hospital of Rennes, cardiology, Rennes, France (5) University Hospital of Rouen, cardiology, cardiology, Rouen, France (6) Hospital of Annecy, cardiology, Metz Tessy, France
(7) Hospital of Haguenau, cardiology, Haguenau, France (8) Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital, radiology, Paris, France



Purpose

Anomalous connections of the coronary arteries (ANOCOR) are rare. Management of high-risk ANOCOR may be difficult and the need of risk stratification model is recognized. Large scale multicenter registries dedicated to these congenital abnormalities are lacking. The multicenter ANOCOR Registry provides prospective collection of contemporary data regarding the profile of patients with ANOCOR.


Patients and Methods

- Consecutive patients presenting to French interventional cardiologists (n=71) during the recruitment period (January 2010-January 2013)
- ANOCOR documented by selective coronary angiography and/or cardiac computed tomography angiography and validated by an angiographic analysis committee
- Exclusion criteria: age <15 years, congenital great vessel disease, or distal anomalous connection such as coronary fistula
- Endpoints: circumstances of diagnosis, frequency of each type of ANOCOR, frequency of anatomical high-risk feature, and prevalence of significant CAD (stenosis ≥50%)
- Anatomical high-risk feature: ANOCOR with preaortic course
- Ectopic segment: distance between the proximal anomalous connection and the point where the coronary artery meet up with an appropriate myocardial area

Examples



Left ANOCOR (white arrow) with retropulmonary course (non high-risk feature)



Right ANOCOR (white arrow) with preaortic course (high-risk feature)

Results

472 patients (71.6% male) were enrolled with a mean age 63±13 years (16-95 years). Young patients (≤35 years of age) were few (n=11). Clinical presentation was confirmed or suspected coronary artery disease in 62.5% (n=295). Twelve (2.5%) aborted sudden deaths were observed (table 1). Single ANOCOR was identified in 450 patients and multiple ANOCOR in 22 patients. Among 496 ANOCOR, 235 involved the circumflex coronary artery (table 2). Connection with opposite sinus or contralateral artery was noticed in 90.8% (n=451). Preaortic course was present in 30.8% involving the ectopic right coronary artery essentially (table 3). Significant CAD was present in 205 patients (43.5%) regarding the non ANOCOR arteries. Prevalence of a significant coronary artery disease seems lower in the ectopic segment of ANOCOR in comparison with the non ectopic segment.

Table 1

Clinical presentation (n=472)

Asymptomatic (n, %)	39 (8.3)
Confirmed or suspected CAD (n, %)	295 (62.5)
Atypical chest pain (n, %)	77 (16.3)
Cardiomyopathy or valvulopathy (n, %)	78 (16.5)
Shortness of breath (n, %)	141 (29.9)
Dizziness (n, %)	38 (8.1)
Palpitations (n, %)	42 (8.9)
Syncope (n, %)	12 (2.5)
Aborted sudden death (n, %)	12 (2.5)

Table 2

Type of ANOCOR (n=496)

Left main coronary artery (n, %)	60 (12.1)
LAD coronary artery (n, %)	27 (5.4)
Circumflex coronary artery (n, %)	235 (47.4)
Right coronary artery (n, %)	165 (33.3)
Other	9 (1.8)

Table 3

Type of course (n=496)

Left main or LAD coronary artery (n=87)	
Prepulmonary course (n, %)	26 (29.9)
Retropulmonary course (n, %)	37 (42.5)
Preaortic course (n, %)	5 (5.7)
Retroaortic course (n, %)	12 (13.8)
Other course (n, %)	7 (8.1)
Circumflex coronary artery (n=235)	
Retroaortic course (n, %)	228 (97.0)
Other course (n, %)	7 (3.0)
Right coronary artery (n=165)	
Preaortic course (n, %)	148 (89.7)
Other course (n, %)	17 (10.3)

Conclusions

Anatomical high-risk feature is uncommon in young people and adults with a left ANOCOR. Discovery of a right ANOCOR associated with a preaortic course is not rare in >35 years of age, and is often fortuitous. Analysis of the management of high-risk ANOCOR will be the next step of the ANOCOR Registry, a large ongoing multicenter study.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding: this work was supported by the Groupe Athérome et Cardiologie Interventionnelle (GACI) of the French Society of Cardiology.

Printed by



Received: 11 October 2016

Revised: 21 February 2017

Accepted: 28 May 2017

DOI: 10.1111/chd.12504

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**WILEY**  Congenital Heart Disease

Interobserver variability in the classification of congenital coronary abnormalities: A substudy of the anomalous connections of the coronary arteries registry

Athanasis Koutsoukis, MD¹ | Xavier Halna du Fretay, MD² | Patrick Dupouy, MD³ |
Phalla Ou, MD, PhD⁴ | Jean-Pierre Laissy, MD, PhD⁴ | Jean-Michel Juliard, MD⁵ |
Fabien Hyafil, MD⁶ | Pierre Aubry, MD⁵  | on behalf of the ANOCOR Investigators*

Methods

Data collection

- Questionnaire with an ANOCOR description was filled by each investigator (n=71)
- Validation questionnaire was filled out by an angiographic committee composed of experts (n=4)

Classification

- ANOCOR with initial preaortic course identified at risk

Analysis

- Questionnaire answers were compared
- Interobserver variabilities were assessed by κ statistics
 - $\kappa < 0.21$ indicating slight agreement
 - 0.21-0.40 fair agreement
 - 0.41-0.60 moderate agreement
 - 0.61-0.80 substantial agreement
 - >0.80 excellent agreement

Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33:159–174.

ANOCOR description

A. Type of artery

- Left main artery
- LAD artery
- Circumflex artery
- Right coronary artery
- Other

B. Site of ectopic connection

- Contralateral sinus
- Contralateral artery
- Non-coronary sinus
- Appropriate sinus
- Ascending aorta
- Pulmonary artery
- Single coronary artery
- Other

C. Initial course

- Prepulmonary course
- Retropulmonary course
- Preaortic course
- Retroaortic course
- Normal course
- Other
- Undetermined

Results

Type of artery: 472 ANOCOR (95%) analyzed

$\kappa = 0.92$, 95% CI: 0.86-0.98; $p < 0.05$

Excellent interobserver agreement

Site of connection: 393 ANOCOR (79%) analyzed

$\kappa = 0.50$, 95% CI: 0.42-0.57; $p < 0.05$

Moderate interobserver agreement

Initial course: 443 ANOCOR (89.3%) analyzed


$\kappa = 0.32$, 95% CI: 0.28-0.37; $p < 0.05$



Fair interobserver agreement

Preaortic course or not: 141 ANOCOR (91.6%) analyzed

$\kappa = 0.497$, 95% CI: 0.40-0.59; $p < 0.05$

Moderate interobserver agreement







Left ANOCOR with retropulmonary course

Left ANOCOR with preaortic course

Subpulmonic



Interarterial



Activité staff ANOCOR

Docteur Mohamed BACCOUCHE


Mémoire DIU cardiologie interventionnelle 2017-2018

► Méthodes

- Dossiers adressés à un groupe multidisciplinaire pour émettre un avis sur la classification et la prise en charge de l'ANOCOR.
- Groupe de travail formé de cardiologues et de radiologues ayant une expertise dans l'imagerie cardiovasculaire.
- Période d'étude de trois ans (janvier 2015 et décembre 2017).
- **122** dossiers analysés avec exclusion des variantes anatomiques (n=8) et des anomalies de connexion distale (n=4).
- **110** patients et **120** anomalies de connexion proximale étudiés.



► Avis thérapeutiques proposés



50 avis rendus

28 corrections proposées (56%)

13 par angioplastie (46%)

15 par chirurgie (54%)

10 corrections réalisées

► Avis sur la pratique sportive

ANOCOR à risque		Restriction de la pratique sportive		
		oui	non	NPSP
en attente d'examens complémentaires (n=24)	ANOCOR gauches (n=2)	2 (100%)	0	0
	ANOCOR droites (n=22)	17 (77%)	3 (14%)	2 (9%)
sans proposition de correction (n=22)	ANOCOR gauches (n=0)	0	0	0
	ANOCOR droites (n=22)	17 (77%)	5 (23%)	0



Groupe de travail ANOCOR
Anomalies de connexion des artères coronaires
Pierre Aubry, Patrick Dupouy, Xavier Halna du Fretay
Fabien Hyafil, Jean-Michel Juliard, Jean-Pierre Laisy, Phalla Ou
Groupe Hospitalier Bichat-Claude Bernard, Paris



Paris, le 29 mai 2017

Chère Marina,

Je te joins l'avis du staff ANOCOR du lundi 15/05/2017 concernant M [REDACTED] 05/05/1995 dont le dossier a été présenté à un staff précédent :

rappel clinique

homme de 21 ans d'origine de Côte d'Ivoire

pas de facteurs de risque

pas d'antécédents cardiaques jusqu'en 2016

signale en juillet 2016 une douleur thoracique avec lipothymie lors d'un effort sportif (football)

altération état général + asthénie + dyspnée en août 2016 avec transfert en France en septembre 2016
prise en charge en médecine interne (CHU BICHAT)

diagnostic d'épanchement péricardique avec tamponnade nécessitant un drainage chirurgical

étiologie rapportée à une tuberculose

lors d'un scanner thoracique découverte d'une anomalie de connexion de la coronaire droite

scanner coronaire

connexion ectopique de la coronaire droite dans le tronc commun

trajet préaoartique avec rétréissement initial < 50%

pas de passage intramural aortique évident

coronarographie avec échographie endocoronaire

absence de lésion athéromateuse

ostium coronaire unique dans le sinus gauche

anomalie de connexion de la coronaire droite dans le tronc commun juxtaostial

exploration par échographie endocoronaire

trajet préaoartique sans passage intramural aortique

ostium ovoïde (4.4x2.2 mm) avec réduction en surface d'environ 35%

bilan secondaire

IRM cardiaque : aspect de péricardite aiguë non constrictive avec atteinte myocardique modérée par contiguïté, fonction ventriculaire gauche conservée, pas d'anomalie de perfusion dans le territoire inférieur
scintigraphie myocardique d'effort : négative électriquement et électriquement à 150 watts sans ischémie

conclusions du staff du 27/02/2017

connexion ectopique de la coronaire droite dans le tronc commun

trajet préaoartique sans passage intramural aortique

forme anatomique reconnue à risque d'ischémie/mort subite

découverte fortuite de l'anomalie si on considère que la symptomatologie d'effort en juillet 2016 pouvait être en rapport avec la péricardite

propositions du staff du 27/02/2017 selon nos connaissances actuelles

pas d'indication actuelle à une correction de l'anomalie (découverte à priori fortuite)

restriction conseillée sur les efforts physiques intenses et/ou en compétition

attitude à adapter si symptomatologie d'effort post-convalescence et/ou souhait du patient de poursuivre une activité sportive intense avec compétition

évolution

lors d'une consultation récente : patient signalant des douleurs invalidantes à l'effort

ECG : anomalies stables de la repolarisation avec onde T négative apicoinférolatérale

échocardiogramme (mars 2017) : sans particularité

test d'effort (avril 2017) : positif cliniquement à 120 watts (nécessitant arrêt) sans anomalie péjorative de la repolarisation

nouvelles proposition du staff du 15/05/2017

indication à une correction de l'anomalie coronaire (forme à risque/symptomatologie d'effort) plutôt par chirurgie (âge < 30 ans)

Amicalement à toi et merci de nous tenir au courant des suites données à ce dossier.

Docteur Pierre AUBRY pour le staff ANOCOR

Praticien Attaché Consultant

Département de Cardiologie

Centre Hospitalier BICHAT-CLAUDE BERNARD

46, rue Henri Huchard. 75018 - Paris - France

pcaubry@yahoo.fr


tel 33 1 40 25 86 65 fax 33 1 40 25 88

CARDIOVASCULAR FLASHLIGHT

doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehw542
Online publish-ahead-of-print 7 December 2016

Non-invasive FFR_{CT} revealing severe inducible ischaemia in an anomalous right coronary artery

Frederik Maria Zimmermann^{1,2}, Yuhei Kobayashi¹, William L. Mullen³, and William Fuller Fearon^{1*}



318_bi

Patient ID A21465572545





CT Study Date 01/16/2012

Birth Date 04/02/1962

Referring Physician Not provided

HeartFlow ID BHH-170726-JKBL

Institution Clinical - Bichat Hospital

OVERVIEWFFR_{CT} is ≤ 0.80 and may indicate functional significance.^{1,2,3}**OVERVIEW**FFR_{CT} values are specified distal to modeled stenoses > 30%.Created with FFR_{CT}-2.7.1.8 on 08/16/2017 01:25 UTC. UDI: (01)00853341006015(10)FFR_{CT}-2.7.1.8(11)2017-08-16(21)BHH-170726-JKBL

Evaluation of the hemodynamic impact of different forms of anomalous connection of coronary artery using Computed Tomography derived Fractional Flow Reserve



F. Hyafil¹, J. Adjedj², W. Benadji-Ferrag¹, C. Kefti¹, X. Halna Du Fretay³, P. Dupouy⁴, P. Ou⁵, JP. Laissy⁵, JM. Juliard⁶, W. Wijns⁷, P. Aubry⁶



(1) AP-HP Hopital Bichat-Claude Bernard, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Paris, France

(2) Inserm UMR 702, Cardiology, Paris, France

(3) Foch Hospital, Cardiology, Suresnes, France

(4) Private Hospital of Antony, Cardiology, Antony, France

(5) Hospital Bichat-Claude Bernard, Radiology, Paris, France

(6) Hospital Bichat-Claude Bernard, Cardiology, Paris, France

(7) National University of Ireland, Lambe Institute for Translational Research, Galway, Ireland

Background

Anomalous connection of coronary artery (ANOCOR) has been associated with adverse cardiac events in young patients. Indications to surgical correction are based on the initial course of the ANOCOR. Stress tests do often not evidence any myocardial ischemia in these patients; fractional flow reserve (FFR) is difficult to measure invasively in the proximal segment of ANOCOR. Computed Tomography derived Fractional Flow Reserve (FFR-CT) is a non-invasive functional test providing anatomical and functional evaluation of the overall coronary tree. These unique features could help to tackle difficult decisions in patients presenting ANOCOR.

Purpose.

We aimed to evaluate the hemodynamic impact of different types of ANOCOR using FFR-CT in a large multi-centric cohort of patients.

Methods.

The multi-centric ANOCOR registry included 476 adult patients with ANOCOR detected during coronary angiogram or computed tomography (CT). Among the latter 106 patients were evaluated with a coronary CT angiography (CCTA) at the time of inclusion. Patients with anomalous connection from the pulmonary arterial trunk were excluded from the analysis. All CCTA were sent to Heartflow for extraction of FFR-CT values in ANOCOR and non-ANOCOR vessels using their dedicated software.

Results.

FFR-CT values could be obtained in 60 patients; 56 patients could not be processed because of insufficient image quality. Mean age of patients was 58 ± 14 years, 47 (78%) were male.

Preaortic (so-called interarterial), retroaortic, subpulmonary and prepulmonary courses were observed respectively in 34 (53%), 16 (27%), 6 (8%) and 4 (8%) patients.




Figure. A. Representative example of a patient with pre-aortic course of the RCA with no significant hemodynamic impact at its origin but borderline value at the distal segment of the RCA.

B. Representative example of a patient with pre-aortic course of the LM with no significant hemodynamic impact at its origin but borderline value at the distal segment of the LAD.

Mean FFR-CT values were 0.82 ± 0.11 in preaortic, 0.85 ± 0.08 in retroaortic, 0.81 ± 0.16 in subpulmonar, and 0.83 ± 0.12 in prepulmonar courses. No statistical difference was observed between the values of FFR-CT measured for the different courses ($P > 0.05$).

The ANOCOR involved the left main/left anterior descending (LM/LAD) in 10 patients (17%), the left circumflex (LCx) in 11 patients (18%) and the right coronary artery (RCA) in 39 patients (65%). In ANOCOR vessels, mean FFR values in LM/LAD, LCx and RCA were respectively 0.81 ± 0.13 , 0.81 ± 0.12 and 0.83 ± 0.11 ($p > 0.05$ for all). Mean FFR-CT value was measured at 0.90 ± 0.09 at the end of the abnormal course of the ANOCOR vessel. Mean FFR-CT value measured at the distal segment of the ANOCOR vessel was significantly lower compared to the value measured in the non-ANOCOR vessels (0.83 ± 0.10 vs. 0.87 ± 0.09 , respectively, $p = 0.0003$).




Conclusions.

FFR-CT demonstrated a moderate hemodynamic impact on coronary flow of the different forms of ANOCOR including the preaortic course, but FFR values remained superior to the 0.80 cut-off value in most of the patients. Long-term follow-up of patients included in this cohort is on-going and will help to define whether FFR-CT might help to improve risk stratification in the ANOCOR population.


Acknowledgements

The organization of the ANOCOR cohort is supported by a grant of the French Society of Cardiology. We wish to thank Heartflow for generously providing the FFR-CT measurements for the patients included in this study.

Coronarographie




Vue dans son plus grand axe




Vue dans son plus petit axe

Echographie endocoronaire Scanner coronaire


extramural







juxtamural




intramural



ostium



Anomalous coronary artery originating from the opposite sinus of Valsalva (ACAOS), fractional flow reserve- and intravascular ultrasound-guided management in adult patients



30 ANOCOR (5 gauches/25 droites)

7 FFR ≤0.80 (23%)


23 orifices en fente (77%)

12 corrections ANOCOR (40%)

TABLE 1 Diagnostic evaluation of ACAOS patients

Subject	Type of ACAOS	Age	Presentation	FFR	IVUS	Cross sectional area stenosis	Heart-team decision	Event free Follow-up	Resolution of complaints
1	51 R	Atypical		0.76	Slitlike orifice		Unroofing	62	Yes
2	54 R	Atypical		0.94	Mild atherosclerosis		Conservative	53	Yes
3	58 R	AVNRT with positive troponin		0.9	Normal		Conservative	52	Had no complaints
4	56 R	Atypical		0.87	Slitlike orifice		Conservative	49	Yes
5	55 L	Routine X-ECG		0.88	Mild atherosclerosis		Conservative	49	Had no complaints
6	43 R	Atypical		0.59	Slitlike orifice		Unroofing	48	Yes
7	38 R	Ventricular Fibrillation		0.52	Slitlike orifice, coronary compression		Unroofing	48	Yes
8	54 L	Atypical		0.80	Normal		Unroofing switched to CABG	47	Dubious
9	57 R	Atypical		0.86	Slitlike orifice	14%	Conservative	45	Yes
10	45 R	Atypical		0.9	Mild atherosclerosis	15%	Conservative	44	Persisting atypical complaints
11	56 L	Takotsubo		0.9	Slitlike orifice		Conservative	44	Persisting atypical complaints
12	52 R	Atypical		0.84	Slitlike orifice		Conservative	44	Yes (after esophageal dilatations)
13	52 R	Atypical		0.81	Slitlike orifice		Conservative	38	Yes
14	62 R	Angina		0.82	Slitlike orifice		Unroofing	39	Declined operation
15	61 R	Routine X-ECG		0.93	Slitlike orifice	21%	Conservative	37	Had no complaints
16	36 R	Atypical		0.89	Slitlike orifice		Conservative	36	Yes
17	56 R	Angina		0.93	Slitlike orifice, coronary compression	67%	Unroofing	31	Yes
18	50 R	Angina		0.92 proximal RCA, 0.78 mid RCA	Significant atherosclerotic lesion of mid RCA	10%	PCI mid RCA	31	Yes
19	48 R	Angina, collapse		0.85	Slitlike orifice	39%	Unroofing	30	Yes
20	50 R	Atypical		0.88	Slitlike orifice		Conservative	19	Persisted fatigue
21	52 R	Atypical		0.86	Slitlike orifice	41%	Conservative	19	Yes
22	60 R	Angina		0.86	Slitlike orifice	48%	Unroofing	16	Yes
23	30 R	ACS with collapse		0.78	Slitlike orifice		Unroofing	13	Yes
24	58 R	Atypical		0.88	Slitlike orifice		Conservative	11	Unknown
25	52 R	Atypical		0.77	Slitlike orifice, coronary compression	61%	Unroofing	10	Yes
26	52 R	Angina		0.83	Slitlike orifice, coronary compression	11%	Unroofing	5	Yes
27	64 R	Routine X-ECG		0.96	Slitlike orifice	6%	Conservative	4	Had no complaints
28	55 R	Angina		0.91	Slitlike orifice, significant lesion of LAD		PCI LAD	4	Yes
29	58 L	Angina		0.74	Slitlike orifice		Unroofing	2	Yes
30	39 L	Angina		0.85	Significant atherosclerotic lesion of mid LAD		PCI mid LAD	0	Yes

L = L-ACAOS; R = R-ACAOS; FFR = fractional flow reserve; IVUS = intravascular ultrasound; IVUS = intravascular ultrasound.
Left ACAOS in subjects 5, 8, 11, 29, 30.

Figure 5. Anomalous Aortic Origin of the Coronary Artery

CONGENITAL: AATS EXPERT CONSENSUS GUIDELINES: ANOMALOUS CORONARY ARTERY

Expert consensus guidelines: Anomalous aortic origin of a coronary artery



Julie A. Brothers, MD,^a Michele A. Frommelt, MD,^b Robert D. B. Jaquiss, MD,^c Robert J. Myerburg, MD,^d Charles D. Fraser, Jr. MD,^e and James S. Tweddell, MD^f

Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

sis.¹²⁵ There are no large-scale studies or registries comparing outcomes of surgery versus PCI, leaving evaluation limited to objective ischemic burden testing before and after interventions. Furthermore, long-term follow-up data are limited regarding this procedure in adults. Due to safety issues with stenting anomalous coronary arteries in growing children, this procedure is not advisable in the pediatric population, but may be considered in select cases in the adult population.

6. Individuals with AAOCA and symptoms of ischemic chest pain or syncope suspected to be due to ventricular arrhythmias, or a history of aborted SCD, should be activity restricted and if deemed prohibitively high risk for surgery, catheter-based intervention may be considered.
(Class IIb; Level of Evidence C)

Série chirurgicale, n = 31

CHU Necker

Patient	Sexe	Age	Symptômes	Type d'anomalies coronaires	Segment intramural	Trajet intraseptal	Geste chirurgical	Complications ischémiques précoces	Complications ischémiques tardives	Anévrismes du patch	Geste(s) effectué(s)
1	F	4	Douleur thoracique d'effort et de repos	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
2	H	5	Dyspnée d'effort	CG naissant du SD	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
3	H	6	Douleur thoracique d'effort	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
4	F	34	ACR sur Fibrillation ventriculaire	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
5	F	9	Aucun	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
6	H	43	Douleur thoracique d'effort et de repos	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
7	H	4	Aucun	CG naissant du SD	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
8	H	14	Aucun	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
9	F	45	Douleur thoracique d'effort et de repos	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
10	H	9	Douleur thoracique d'effort	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Sténose ostiale de la CD à 1 an asymptomatique	Non	Angioplastie de l'ostium de la CD
11	H	15	Douleur thoracique d'effort	CG naissant du SD	Non	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
12	F	39	Douleur thoracique d'effort	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
13	H	30	Infarctus du myocarde et syncope	CG naissant du SD	Non	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
14	H	6	Douleur thoracique d'effort	CG naissant du SD	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
15	H	5	Aucun	CD naissant du SG	Non	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
16	H	10	Douleur thoracique de repos	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
17	H	17	ACR	CG naissant du SD	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Oui	Aucun
18	H	13	Aucun	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Plastie ostiale	Non	Non	Oui	Anévrismorraphie à Ian et demi
19	H	43	Douleur thoracique et dyspnée d'effort, syncope	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
20	F	19	Douleur thoracique d'effort et de repos	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
21	F	43	Douleur thoracique d'effort et de repos	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
22	H	19	Aucun	CD naissant du SG	Non	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
23	H	7	Douleur thoracique d'effort et de repos	CD naissant du SG	Non	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Thrombose CD peropératoire	Resténose intrastent	Non	Angioplastie et stenting à la phase aiguë et à distance
24	H	10	Aucun	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
25	F	18	Douleur thoracique et dyspnée d'effort	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
26	H	26	Fibrillation ventriculaire	CD naissant du SG	Non	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
27	H	48	Douleur thoracique d'effort	CD naissant du SG	Oui	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
28	H	11	Douleur thoracique d'effort	CD naissant du SG	Non	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
29	H	14	Douleur thoracique et dyspnée d'effort	CG naissant du SD	Non	Non	Section-Réimplantation	Non	Non	Non	Aucun
30	H	66	Dyspnée d'effort	CG naissant du SD	Non	Oui	Variante <i>intraseptal</i> : Libération du trajet intraseptal puis réimplantation dans le SD et Mobilisation du culot pulmonaire	Sténose de l'anastomose CG à J2	Insuffisance cardiaque chronique	Non	Angioplastie et stenting à la phase aiguë
31	H	21	Douleur thoracique et dyspnée d'effort	CG naissant du SD	Non	Oui	Variante <i>intraseptal</i> : Libération du trajet intraseptal puis réimplantation dans le SD et Mobilisation du culot pulmonaire	Non	Non	Non	Aucun

Anomalous connection of the right coronary artery with interarterial course: Preliminary prospective experience of stenting in selected adults

P. Aubry¹, P. Degrell¹, X. Halna du Fretay², P. Dupouy³, P. Barragan⁴, M. Nejjari⁵, C. Dugauquier⁶, J.M. Juliard¹

¹Centre Hospitalier Bichat, Paris, ²Unité Cardiologique de la Reine Blanche, Saran, ³Hôpital Privé d'Antony, Antony,

⁴Polyclinique les Fleurs, Ollioules, ⁵Centre Cardiologique du Nord, Saint-Denis, ⁶Hôpital de la Citadelle, Liège, Belgique

Background

- Anomalous connections of the coronary arteries (ANOCOR) with interarterial course raise therapeutic problems.
- Current guidelines recommend a surgical repair for right ANOCOR with evidence of ischemia. The lack of controlled studies and the scarcity of long-term data may explain an underutilization of surgical treatment.
- A percutaneous approach may provide an interesting alternative in a selected adult population.

Purpose

- To assess the feasibility and safety of preaortic segment stenting in right ANOCOR with interarterial course.

Methods

- Ten patients were prospectively included between 2014 and 2016.
- Stenting was proposed according to predefined criteria (age >30 years, symptoms or documented ischemia, no history of aborted sudden death, ostial ovoid shape) for patients referred to an expert group.
- All patients underwent selective coronary angiography and coronary computed tomography.
- Evaluation by intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) or optical coherence tomography (OCT) was recommended.

Results

Table 1 : Baseline characteristics

	N=10
Mean age (years)	56 (35-81)
Presentation	
ACS (%)	2 (20)
Stable angina (%)	5 (50)
Silent ischemia (%)	2 (20)
Syncope (%)	1 (10)
Anatomic feature	
Intramural segment (%)	5 (50)

Table 2 : Procedural characteristics and outcomes

	N=10
Successful stenting (%)	10 (100)
DES use (%)	9 (90)
Mean fluoroscopic time (min)	18
IVUS/OCT guidance (%)	7 (70)
Mean troponin (microg/L) at day 1	0.58
Periprocedural complications (%)	0 (0)
Outcomes	
MACE at 12-month follow-up (%)	0 (0)
New hospitalization for persistent angina (%)	1 (10)




Figure 1: angiography at baseline

Figure 2: IVUS at baseline

Figure 3: stenting

Figure 4: final angiography

Figure 5: final IVUS

CONCLUSIONS

- Preaoctic segment stenting of right ANOCOR with interarterial course appears feasible and safe in this preliminary experience.
- A longer follow-up and a more important population are needed to know whether this technique is suitable for a next therapeutic algorithm.



Conclusions

- ANOCOR à risque potentiel : non exceptionnelles.
- Trajets ectopiques parfois mal identifiés : intérêt de centres référents.
- Critères de sévérité des ANOCOR à risque : à mieux préciser.
- Attitudes pratiques et recommandations : parfois décalées.
- Angioplastie : alternative à la chirurgie ?



Registres

Registre



publication en 2019

Registre



-STENTING en cours

Registre



-RISK début en 2019

Staff mensuel ANOCOR

contact pcaubry@yahoo.fr

groupe de travail multidisciplinaire de travail sur les
anomalies coronaires congénitales

Pierre Aubry

Philippe Degrell

Patrick Dupouy

Warda Ferrag

Xavier Halna du Fretay

Fabien Hyafil

Jean-Michel Juliard

Jean-Pierre Laissy

Phalla Ou

